

# More Savings Ahead: How Updating National Efficiency Standards Would Reduce Costs in Every State

By Rachel Margolis and Joanna Mauer

June 2026

## Summary

In our June 2025 [report](#), *More Savings Ahead: The Potential of Future Appliance Standards*, we estimated potential savings from updates to national efficiency standards. In this supplemental policy analysis, we present updated national savings estimates and state-by-state savings. We estimate that the next round of efficiency standards could:

- Save U.S. households an average of about \$160 annually on their utility bills over two decades (2030–2050); businesses could collectively save almost \$15 billion annually
- Reduce summer peak demand by about 34 gigawatts (GW) in 2040 and 56 GW in 2050
- Save 4.2 trillion gallons of water cumulatively through 2050
- Cut cumulative carbon dioxide emissions by more than 800 million metric tons through 2050

Any congressional or administrative actions that threaten the U.S. Department of Energy's ability to set future standards would mean higher utility bills for consumers and increased strain on the electric grid.

## Introduction

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) sets and updates minimum efficiency standards for a range of residential and commercial appliances and equipment. For more than four decades, the appliance standards program has saved U.S. households and businesses money on energy and water bills. In a June 2025 report,<sup>1</sup> we estimated national savings from potential updates to efficiency standards. In this companion analysis, we present updated national savings estimates and potential state-by-state savings.

The next round of updated efficiency standards could add to the significant savings from existing standards,<sup>2</sup> continuing to cut costs for households and businesses in every state while reducing strain on the electric grid during a time of rapid load growth. However, congressional and administrative threats to the appliance standards program put these savings at risk.

---

<sup>1</sup> Margolis, Rachel, and Joanna Mauer. 2025. *More Savings Ahead: The Potential of Future Appliance Standards*. Boston: ASAP. [appliance-standards.org/more-savings-ahead-potential-future-appliance-standards](https://appliance-standards.org/more-savings-ahead-potential-future-appliance-standards).

<sup>2</sup> Mauer, Joanna, and Rachel Margolis. 2026. *Appliance Efficiency Standards Lower Utility Bills and Cut Electricity Demand in Every State*. Boston: ASAP. [appliance-standards.org/existing-efficiency-standards-lower-energy-bills](https://appliance-standards.org/existing-efficiency-standards-lower-energy-bills).

## Methodology

For our updated analysis, for some products we assumed later compliance dates to reflect the passage of time since the publication of our June 2025 report because no relevant rulemakings have been initiated. The updated compliance dates range from 2029 to 2035.

To estimate potential state-by-state savings, we allocated the national electricity, natural gas, and water savings to each state. To estimate peak electricity demand reductions, we derived state-by-state summer and winter peak load factors from the National Laboratory of the Rockies’ ResStock and ComStock end-use load profiles. We calculated utility bill savings by multiplying the annual electricity, natural gas, and water savings by respective state-by-state average prices. Similarly, we calculated emissions reductions from electricity savings by multiplying annual electricity savings by respective state-by-state average emissions factors. Finally, we calculated state-by-state emissions reductions from natural gas savings by multiplying annual natural gas savings by emissions factors for natural gas.

Appendix A contains our assumed compliance dates. Appendix B contains additional details on our methodology for estimating state-by-state savings.

## Utility bill savings

The next round of updated efficiency standards could save U.S. households an average of about \$160 annually on their utility bills over two decades (2030–2050). Collectively, households could save more than \$20 billion annually, while businesses could collectively save almost \$15 billion annually. Table 1 shows the potential average annual utility bill savings from updated standards for a typical household in each state and the collective average annual bill savings for businesses. The variation in household bill savings across states is largely driven by differences in energy prices and space heating or cooling requirements. The variation in business utility bill savings is largely driven by the size of the commercial and industrial sectors in each state.

**Table 1. Potential average annual utility bill savings for a typical household, annual collective household savings, and annual collective business savings over two decades (2030–2050) from updated standards (2025\$)**

|             | Average annual household utility bill savings (\$) | Collective average annual household bill savings (million \$) | Collective annual business utility bill savings (million \$) |
|-------------|--|---|--|
| Alabama     | \$158  | \$316   | \$256  |
| Alaska      | \$169  | \$46  | \$53   |
| Arizona     | \$165  | \$470   | \$281  |
| Arkansas    | \$139  | \$168   | \$121  |
| California  | \$192  | \$2,600   | \$1,865  |
| Colorado    | \$133  | \$316   | \$217  |
| Connecticut | \$213  | \$305   | \$218  |

|                      | Average annual household utility bill savings (\$) | Collective average annual household bill savings (million \$) | Collective annual business utility bill savings (million \$) |
|----------------------|--|---|--|
| Delaware             | \$164  | \$66  | \$40   |
| District of Columbia | \$148  | \$48  | \$80   |
| Florida              | \$163  | \$1,430   | \$778  |
| Georgia              | \$151  | \$617   | \$420  |
| Hawaii               | \$277  | \$136   | \$89   |
| Idaho                | \$113  | \$80  | \$59   |
| Illinois             | \$136  | \$684   | \$552  |
| Indiana              | \$135  | \$366   | \$315  |
| Iowa                 | \$116  | \$152   | \$136  |
| Kansas               | \$140  | \$165   | \$149  |
| Kentucky             | \$129  | \$235   | \$191  |
| Louisiana            | \$141  | \$255   | \$248  |
| Maine                | \$187  | \$111   | \$102  |
| Maryland             | \$180  | \$426   | \$268  |
| Massachusetts        | \$223  | \$621   | \$436  |
| Michigan             | \$134  | \$548   | \$423  |
| Minnesota            | \$122  | \$281   | \$204  |
| Mississippi          | \$145  | \$166   | \$140  |
| Missouri             | \$130  | \$326   | \$229  |
| Montana              | \$103  | \$47  | \$38   |
| Nebraska             | \$125  | \$99  | \$115  |
| Nevada               | \$138  | \$165   | \$114  |
| New Hampshire        | \$184  | \$102   | \$74   |
| New Jersey           | \$199  | \$698   | \$464  |
| New Mexico           | \$121  | \$102   | \$79   |
| New York             | \$205  | \$1,581   | \$1,135  |
| North Carolina       | \$139  | \$593   | \$377  |
| North Dakota         | \$118  | \$39  | \$97   |
| Ohio                 | \$137  | \$665   | \$507  |

|                | Average annual household utility bill savings (\$) | Collective average annual household bill savings (million \$) | Collective annual business utility bill savings (million \$) |
|----------------|--|---|--|
| Oklahoma       | \$149  | \$232   | \$199  |
| Oregon         | \$123  | \$212   | \$149  |
| Pennsylvania   | \$179  | \$944   | \$505  |
| Rhode Island   | \$229  | \$101   | \$82   |
| South Carolina | \$157  | \$333   | \$235  |
| South Dakota   | \$128  | \$47  | \$49   |
| Tennessee      | \$129  | \$366   | \$302  |
| Texas          | \$166  | \$1,823   | \$1,305  |
| Utah           | \$128  | \$144   | \$108  |
| Vermont        | \$160  | \$44  | \$40   |
| Virginia       | \$154  | \$520   | \$456  |
| Washington     | \$110  | \$337   | \$202  |
| West Virginia  | \$145  | \$106   | \$82   |
| Wisconsin      | \$129  | \$319   | \$253  |
| Wyoming        | \$119  | \$29  | \$54   |

## Peak demand savings

Table 2 shows potential state-by-state summer peak and winter peak electricity demand reductions in 2040 and 2050 from updated standards. While most regions of the United States are summer peaking, some regions are already facing large winter peaks. Potential future standards could reduce total U.S. summer peak demand by about 34 GW in 2040 and 56 GW in 2050. Potential U.S. winter peak demand reductions are about 20 GW in 2040 and almost 33 GW in 2050. These peak demand savings could help improve overall affordability for ratepayers by lessening the need for costly investments in new power plants and transmission and distribution infrastructure.

**Table 2. Potential summer and winter peak demand reductions in 2040 and 2050 from updated standards**

|         | Summer peak demand reduction (MW) |      | Winter peak demand reduction (MW) |      |
|---------|-----------------------------------|------|-----------------------------------|------|
|         | 2040                              | 2050 | 2040                              | 2050 |
| Alabama | 553                               | 937  | 396                               | 660  |

|                      |       |       |       |       |
|----------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Alaska               | 32    | 55    | 37    | 64    |
| Arizona              | 680   | 1,095 | 476   | 759   |
| Arkansas             | 337   | 571   | 215   | 361   |
| California           | 1,982 | 3,257 | 1,141 | 1,808 |
| Colorado             | 497   | 846   | 304   | 504   |
| Connecticut          | 483   | 741   | 183   | 299   |
| Delaware             | 105   | 181   | 64    | 107   |
| District of Columbia | 112   | 190   | 54    | 89    |
| Florida              | 1,704 | 2,855 | 1,305 | 2,149 |
| Georgia              | 1,072 | 1,834 | 728   | 1,205 |
| Hawaii               | 90    | 144   | 78    | 129   |
| Idaho                | 200   | 337   | 127   | 212   |
| Illinois             | 1,356 | 2,232 | 727   | 1,165 |
| Indiana              | 724   | 1,210 | 487   | 794   |
| Iowa                 | 358   | 598   | 251   | 415   |
| Kansas               | 301   | 509   | 197   | 322   |
| Kentucky             | 509   | 870   | 360   | 600   |
| Louisiana            | 561   | 943   | 397   | 657   |
| Maine                | 169   | 261   | 76    | 126   |
| Maryland             | 584   | 1,010 | 358   | 600   |
| Massachusetts        | 877   | 1,349 | 339   | 546   |
| Michigan             | 968   | 1,609 | 584   | 939   |
| Minnesota            | 588   | 979   | 333   | 548   |
| Mississippi          | 318   | 539   | 216   | 360   |
| Missouri             | 558   | 946   | 376   | 622   |
| Montana              | 129   | 220   | 78    | 130   |
| Nebraska             | 245   | 419   | 162   | 266   |
| Nevada               | 306   | 490   | 187   | 296   |
| New Hampshire        | 172   | 267   | 72    | 118   |
| New Jersey           | 1,045 | 1,662 | 472   | 744   |
| New Mexico           | 208   | 347   | 146   | 239   |
| New York             | 2,415 | 3,744 | 899   | 1,439 |
| North Carolina       | 999   | 1,750 | 597   | 989   |
| North Dakota         | 199   | 338   | 143   | 235   |
| Ohio                 | 1,366 | 2,264 | 842   | 1,371 |

|                |       |       |       |       |
|----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Oklahoma       | 544   | 916   | 313   | 515   |
| Oregon         | 508   | 863   | 332   | 553   |
| Pennsylvania   | 1,451 | 2,297 | 784   | 1,281 |
| Rhode Island   | 152   | 230   | 51    | 82    |
| South Carolina | 572   | 985   | 405   | 676   |
| South Dakota   | 97    | 166   | 68    | 113   |
| Tennessee      | 730   | 1,256 | 493   | 812   |
| Texas          | 3,350 | 5,600 | 2,139 | 3,520 |
| Utah           | 278   | 468   | 188   | 311   |
| Vermont        | 77    | 120   | 35    | 58    |
| Virginia       | 1,285 | 2,238 | 658   | 1,070 |
| Washington     | 710   | 1,211 | 486   | 821   |
| West Virginia  | 239   | 399   | 153   | 254   |
| Wisconsin      | 675   | 1,125 | 404   | 659   |
| Wyoming        | 114   | 193   | 79    | 128   |

## Emissions reductions

Table 3 shows potential nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>) and carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) emissions reductions in 2040 and 2050 from updated standards. The totals reflect savings from both electric-consuming and fossil-fuel-consuming equipment. The potential cumulative U.S. CO<sub>2</sub> emissions reductions through 2050 are 827 million metric tons.

**Table 3. Potential NO<sub>x</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions reductions in 2040 and 2050 from updated standards**

|             | NO <sub>x</sub> emissions reductions<br>(tons) |       | CO <sub>2</sub> emissions reductions<br>(million metric tons) |      |
|-------------|--|-------|---|------|
|             | 2040   | 2050  | 2040  | 2050 |
| Alabama     | 267  | 485   | 0.7   | 1.7  |
| Alaska      | 317  | 553   | 0.1   | 0.2  |
| Arizona     | 487  | 881   | 0.8   | 1.6  |
| Arkansas    | 178  | 318   | 0.4   | 0.9  |
| California  | 1,907  | 3,762 | 2.5   | 5.1  |
| Colorado    | 239  | 863   | 0.5   | 1.1  |
| Connecticut | 265  | 454   | 0.3   | 0.5  |

|                      |       |       |     |     |
|----------------------|-------|-------|-----|-----|
| Delaware             | 57    | 106   | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| District of Columbia | 71    | 124   | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Florida              | 1,311 | 2,863 | 3.1 | 6.4 |
| Georgia              | 572   | 1,078 | 1.6 | 3.5 |
| Hawaii               | 364   | 579   | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Idaho                | 123   | 225   | 0.2 | 0.5 |
| Illinois             | 702   | 1,479 | 1.2 | 2.6 |
| Indiana              | 554   | 1,067 | 1.0 | 2.0 |
| Iowa                 | 227   | 440   | 0.4 | 0.7 |
| Kansas               | 144   | 306   | 0.3 | 0.6 |
| Kentucky             | 296   | 533   | 0.5 | 1.2 |
| Louisiana            | 324   | 568   | 0.8 | 1.8 |
| Maine                | 107   | 182   | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Maryland             | 360   | 672   | 0.8 | 1.6 |
| Massachusetts        | 557   | 986   | 0.6 | 1.2 |
| Michigan             | 1,016 | 1,887 | 1.2 | 2.3 |
| Minnesota            | 401   | 790   | 0.6 | 1.2 |
| Mississippi          | 170   | 312   | 0.4 | 1.0 |
| Missouri             | 367   | 750   | 0.6 | 1.3 |
| Montana              | 72    | 141   | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Nebraska             | 103   | 219   | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| Nevada               | 267   | 489   | 0.4 | 1.0 |
| New Hampshire        | 100   | 172   | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| New Jersey           | 778   | 1,514 | 1.4 | 2.8 |
| New Mexico           | 152   | 297   | 0.2 | 0.5 |
| New York             | 828   | 1,748 | 2.2 | 4.5 |
| North Carolina       | 520   | 952   | 1.0 | 2.6 |
| North Dakota         | 62    | 129   | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Ohio                 | 1,097 | 2,025 | 2.1 | 4.1 |
| Oklahoma             | 305   | 550   | 0.5 | 1.0 |
| Oregon               | 228   | 414   | 0.3 | 0.7 |
| Pennsylvania         | 964   | 1,782 | 2.0 | 3.9 |

|                |       |       |     |     |
|----------------|-------|-------|-----|-----|
| Rhode Island   | 95    | 168   | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| South Carolina | 286   | 518   | 0.6 | 1.4 |
| South Dakota   | 43    | 104   | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Tennessee      | 423   | 769   | 0.7 | 1.7 |
| Texas          | 2,068 | 3,701 | 3.7 | 7.5 |
| Utah           | 227   | 425   | 0.4 | 0.9 |
| Vermont        | 51    | 87    | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Virginia       | 840   | 1,479 | 1.7 | 3.7 |
| Washington     | 364   | 675   | 0.5 | 1.2 |
| West Virginia  | 156   | 275   | 0.3 | 0.6 |
| Wisconsin      | 433   | 831   | 0.7 | 1.3 |
| Wyoming        | 48    | 129   | 0.1 | 0.2 |

## Water savings

Table 4 shows potential water savings in 2040 and 2050 from updated standards. The potential cumulative water savings across the United States from updated standards are 4.2 trillion gallons through 2050.

**Table 4. Potential water savings in 2040 and 2050 from updated standards**

|                      | Water savings in 2040<br>(billion gallons) | Water savings in 2050<br>(billion gallons) |
|----------------------|--|--|
| Alabama              | 3.5  | 5.4  |
| Alaska               | 0.5  | 0.8  |
| Arizona              | 5.2  | 7.9  |
| Arkansas             | 2.1  | 3.2  |
| California           | 27.2                                       | 41.0                                       |
| Colorado             | 4.1  | 6.2  |
| Connecticut          | 2.5  | 3.8  |
| Delaware             | 0.7  | 1.1  |
| District of Columbia | 0.5  | 0.7  |
| Florida              | 15.7                                       | 23.8                                       |
| Georgia              | 7.7  | 11.6                                       |
| Hawaii               | 1.0  | 1.5  |

|                | Water savings in 2040<br>(billion gallons) | Water savings in 2050<br>(billion gallons) |
|----------------|--|--|
| Idaho          | 1.4  | 2.1  |
| Illinois       | 8.7  | 13.2                                       |
| Indiana        | 4.8  | 7.2  |
| Iowa           | 2.2  | 3.4  |
| Kansas         | 2.0  | 3.1  |
| Kentucky       | 3.2  | 4.8  |
| Louisiana      | 3.2  | 4.8  |
| Maine          | 1.0  | 1.4  |
| Maryland       | 4.4  | 6.6  |
| Massachusetts  | 4.8  | 7.2  |
| Michigan       | 7.0  | 10.6                                       |
| Minnesota      | 4.0  | 6.1  |
| Mississippi    | 2.0  | 3.1  |
| Missouri       | 4.3  | 6.5  |
| Montana        | 0.8  | 1.2  |
| Nebraska       | 1.4  | 2.1  |
| Nevada         | 2.3  | 3.4  |
| New Hampshire  | 1.0  | 1.5  |
| New Jersey     | 6.5  | 9.8  |
| New Mexico     | 1.5  | 2.2  |
| New York       | 13.3                                       | 19.9                                       |
| North Carolina | 7.5  | 11.4                                       |
| North Dakota   | 0.5  | 0.8  |
| Ohio           | 8.2  | 12.3                                       |
| Oklahoma       | 2.8  | 4.2  |
| Oregon         | 3.0  | 4.5  |
| Pennsylvania   | 9.0  | 13.6                                       |
| Rhode Island   | 0.7  | 1.1  |
| South Carolina | 3.7  | 5.6  |
| South Dakota   | 0.6  | 0.9  |
| Tennessee      | 5.0  | 7.5  |

|               | Water savings in 2040<br>(billion gallons) | Water savings in 2050<br>(billion gallons) |
|---------------|--|--|
| Texas         | 21.2                                       | 32.0                                       |
| Utah          | 2.4  | 3.7  |
| Vermont       | 0.4  | 0.7  |
| Virginia      | 6.1  | 9.2  |
| Washington    | 5.5  | 8.3  |
| West Virginia | 1.2  | 1.8  |
| Wisconsin     | 4.1  | 6.2  |
| Wyoming       | 0.4  | 0.6  |

## Conclusion

We estimate that updates to national efficiency standards could reduce U.S. household utility bills by an average of about \$160 annually and collectively save businesses almost \$15 billion in annual operating costs over two decades. Updated standards would also reduce strain on the electric grid by reducing peak demand while cutting air pollutant emissions and water waste. Any congressional or administrative actions that threaten DOE’s ability to set future standards would mean higher utility bills for consumers and increased strain on the electric grid.

## Appendix A. Updated compliance dates

Tables A1 and A2 show our updated assumed compliance dates for residential and for commercial and industrial product categories, respectively. For most products, we added one or two years to the compliance dates assumed in our June 2025 report to reflect the passage of time. For products for which DOE has not initiated a rulemaking, we assumed that DOE could issue a request for information (RFI) in 2027, a notice of proposed rulemaking (NOPR) in 2029, and a final rule in 2030. For portable electric spas, DOE has initiated a rulemaking, so we assumed it could issue a NOPR in 2027 and a final rule in 2028. For products for which DOE has issued a proposed rule (battery chargers, boilers, ceiling fans, dehumidifiers, external power supplies, automatic commercial ice makers, beverage vending machines, and fans and blowers), we previously assumed that the final rule could be published in the first half of 2025. Here, we assumed that DOE could issue the final rule in the first half of 2027. We then calculated the ultimate compliance dates using the lead times in table A1 of our June 2025 report.

For gas instantaneous water heaters, commercial refrigeration equipment, and walk-in coolers and freezers, Congress blocked the most recent standards through Congressional Review Act resolutions, and DOE subsequently withdrew the rules.<sup>3</sup> For these three products, we updated the baseline energy

<sup>3</sup> [www.federalregister.gov/documents/2025/05/20/2025-09030/energy-conservation-program-energy-conservation-standards-for-consumer-gas-fired-instantaneous-water](https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2025/05/20/2025-09030/energy-conservation-program-energy-conservation-standards-for-consumer-gas-fired-instantaneous-water); [www.federalregister.gov/documents/2025/05/20/2025-09031/energy-conservation-program-energy-conservation-standards-for-commercial-refrigerators-freezers-and](https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2025/05/20/2025-09031/energy-conservation-program-energy-conservation-standards-for-commercial-refrigerators-freezers-and); [www.federalregister.gov/documents/2025/05/20/2025-09029/energy-conservation-program-energy-conservation-standards-for-walk-in-coolers-and-walk-in-freezers](https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2025/05/20/2025-09029/energy-conservation-program-energy-conservation-standards-for-walk-in-coolers-and-walk-in-freezers)

use to reflect the current standard levels, and we assumed the same rulemaking timelines as for products for which DOE has not initiated a rulemaking.

**Table A1. Assumed compliance dates for residential product categories**

| <b>Product category</b>               | <b>Assumed compliance date</b> |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Air cleaners                          | 2035                           |
| Battery chargers                      | 2029                           |
| Boilers                               | 2032                           |
| Ceiling fans                          | 2030                           |
| Central ACs and heat pumps            | 2035                           |
| Circulator pumps                      | 2034                           |
| Clothes dryers                        | 2034                           |
| Clothes washers                       | 2034                           |
| Cooking products                      | 2034                           |
| Dedicated-purpose pool pumps          | 2033                           |
| Dehumidifiers                         | 2030                           |
| Direct heating equipment              | 2035                           |
| Dishwashers                           | 2033                           |
| External power supplies               | 2029                           |
| Faucets                               | 2033                           |
| Furnaces                              | 2035                           |
| Furnace fans                          | 2035                           |
| Microwave ovens                       | 2033                           |
| Miscellaneous refrigeration equipment | 2035                           |
| Pool heaters                          | 2035                           |
| Portable ACs                          | 2035                           |
| Portable electric spas                | 2033                           |
| Refrigerators and freezers            | 2036                           |
| Room ACs                              | 2033                           |
| Showerheads                           | 2033                           |
| Toilets                               | 2033                           |
| Uninterruptible power supplies        | 2032                           |
| Water heaters                         | 2035                           |

**Table A2. Assumed compliance dates for commercial and industrial product categories**

| Product category                           | Assumed compliance date |
|--|-------------------------|
| Air compressors                            | 2035                    |
| Automatic commercial ice makers            | 2030                    |
| Beverage vending machines                  | 2030                    |
| Commercial boilers                         | 2031                    |
| Commercial clothes washers                 | 2031                    |
| Commercial furnaces                        | 2031                    |
| Commercial package ACs and heat pumps      | 2035                    |
| Commercial refrigeration equipment         | 2033                    |
| Commercial three-phase ACs and heat pumps  | 2033                    |
| Commercial water heaters                   | 2033                    |
| Distribution transformers                  | 2035                    |
| Electric motors                            | 2033                    |
| Expanded scope electric motors             | 2035                    |
| Fans and blowers                           | 2032                    |
| Packaged terminal ACs and heat pumps       | 2031                    |
| Pumps                                      | 2031                    |
| Single-package vertical ACs and heat pumps | 2031                    |
| Small electric motors                      | 2033                    |
| Urinals                                    | 2033                    |
| Walk-in coolers and freezers               | 2033                    |
| Water-source heat pumps                    | 2031                    |

## Appendix B. Methodology for estimating state-by-state savings

Our methodology for estimating potential national electricity, natural gas, and water savings from updated standards is described in our June 2025 report.<sup>4</sup> In this analysis, we updated the compliance dates and, for three products, baseline energy use as described in Appendix A to recalculate national energy and water savings. We then calculated state-by-state peak demand reductions, utility bill savings, and emissions reductions as described below.

<sup>4</sup> Margolis, Rachel, and Joanna Mauer. 2025. *More Savings Ahead: The Potential of Future Appliance Standards*. Boston: ASAP. [appliance-standards.org/more-savings-ahead-potential-future-appliance-standards](https://appliance-standards.org/more-savings-ahead-potential-future-appliance-standards).

## ***Allocation of national savings to each state***

For residential products, we calculated potential state-by-state electricity, natural gas, and water savings by allocating national product sales to each state and, where appropriate, making state-by-state adjustments to the per-unit savings. For products for which product saturation does not vary significantly by region (e.g., refrigerators, external power supplies, microwave ovens), we used the number of households in each state to allocate product sales. For residential products for which saturation does vary significantly by state/region (e.g., central air conditioners, electric and gas water heaters, pool heaters, dehumidifiers), we used data on equipment saturation from the 2020 Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) to allocate sales.<sup>5</sup> For faucets and showerheads, we allocated the electricity and natural gas savings from reduced hot water consumption based on the prevalence of electric and gas water heaters in each state.

For certain products, we also adjusted the per-unit savings by state. Specifically, for furnaces and boilers, central air conditioners and heat pumps, and water heaters, we adjusted the per-unit savings for each state based on average electricity or fuel usage for each product based on RECS 2020. For faucets and showerheads, we adjusted the per-unit electricity and natural gas savings based on water heater usage. Finally, for products for which per-household consumption is correlated with household size (toilets, clothes washers, clothes dryers, dishwashers, and ranges), we adjusted the per-unit savings based on average household size.

For products used in the commercial sector for space heating, water heating, cooling, ventilation, refrigeration, lighting, and computing, we allocated commercial-sector savings to each state based on regional energy consumption by end use from the 2018 Commercial Buildings Energy Consumption Survey (CBECS) and state-by-state commercial electricity and natural gas use from the Energy Information Administration.<sup>6</sup> We first allocated savings to the nine U.S. Census divisions based on end-use consumption, and we then allocated regional savings to individual states based on commercial electricity use or commercial natural gas use. For products used in the commercial sector for which energy use is more closely correlated with population (e.g., commercial clothes washers), we allocated savings based on population. For the portion of motors, pumps, and compressors used in the commercial sector, we allocated savings based on commercial electricity use, and for distribution transformers we allocated savings based on total electricity use. Finally, for the portion of motors, fans, pumps, and compressors used in the industrial sector, we allocated savings based on industrial electricity use.

## ***Peak demand reductions***

We calculated peak demand reductions using the National Laboratory of the Rockies' (NLR) ResStock and ComStock end-use load profiles (for 2018) for the residential and commercial sectors, respectively, for each state.<sup>7</sup> Since ComStock does not represent all the floor area modeled in CBECS, we scaled the commercial electricity load using 2018 commercial electricity sales for each state. For consistency, we also scaled the ResStock data using 2018 residential electricity sales. For each state, we calculated the summer peak hour based on the highest 15-minute usage from June 1 to September 30 for the

---

<sup>5</sup> [www.eia.gov/consumption/residential/data/2020/](http://www.eia.gov/consumption/residential/data/2020/).

<sup>6</sup> [www.eia.gov/consumption/commercial/data/2018/](http://www.eia.gov/consumption/commercial/data/2018/); [www.eia.gov/electricity/data.php#sales](http://www.eia.gov/electricity/data.php#sales) (October 7, 2025, release); [www.eia.gov/dnav/ng/ng\\_cons\\_sum\\_a\\_EPG0\\_vgt\\_mmcf\\_a.htm](http://www.eia.gov/dnav/ng/ng_cons_sum_a_EPG0_vgt_mmcf_a.htm) (March 31, 2026, release).

<sup>7</sup> [resstock.nlr.gov/datasets](http://resstock.nlr.gov/datasets); [comstock.nlr.gov/page/datasets](http://comstock.nlr.gov/page/datasets). We used ResStock 2025 Release 1, AMY2018 (October 2025) and Comstock 2025 Release 2, AMY2018 (August 2025).

combined residential and commercial loads, and we calculated the winter peak hour based on the highest 15-minute usage from December 1 to February 28.

For each electric end use, we summed the four 15-minute data points in the peak hour. We also summed the 15-minute data for the full year for each end use and divided by 8,760 hours to calculate the average hourly electricity use. We then calculated the peak load factor (PLF) by dividing the electricity use during the peak hour by the average hourly electricity use.

The PLF represents electricity usage during the peak hour relative to average hourly usage over the year. A PLF greater than 1 means that during the peak hour, more electricity is used than in an average hour. Cooling products, for example, generally have a summer PLF significantly greater than 1 (i.e., significantly more electricity is used for cooling during the summer peak hour compared to the average hourly electricity used for cooling over the year). A PLF less than 1 means that during the peak hour, less electricity is used than in an average hour. For example, for most states, the residential dishwasher end use has a summer peak PLF of slightly less than 1 (i.e., less electricity is used for cleaning dishes during the summer peak hour compared to the average hourly electricity used for cleaning dishes over the year).

To calculate the summer and winter peak demand reductions associated with each standard, we first divided the annual electricity savings by 8,760 hours to calculate the average hourly electricity savings. We then multiplied the average hourly electricity savings by the summer and winter PLFs for the appropriate end use. For standards for certain products not represented by the NLR end-use load profiles (e.g., battery chargers, dehumidifiers, microwave ovens), we applied a flat load profile (i.e., a PLF of 1). For portable electric spas, we applied the PLF for the sum of pool heating and pumps. For electric motors, we applied the PLF for the sum of the fan and pump end uses in commercial buildings to the portion of motors used in the commercial sector; we applied a flat load profile to the portion of motors used in the residential and industrial sectors.

For certain products, we adjusted the PLF values before applying them to the electricity savings. Specifically, for air-conditioning product categories that have efficiency metrics based on seasonal efficiency—that is, central ACs and heat pumps, room ACs, and commercial package ACs and heat pumps—we took into account that an improvement in seasonal efficiency does not translate directly to an increase in peak efficiency because different equipment designs can result in a range of peak load efficiency for a given seasonal efficiency. These adjustments are described in our June 2025 report.<sup>8</sup>

### **Utility bill savings**

We calculated utility bill savings by multiplying the annual electricity, natural gas, and water savings by respective state-by-state average prices. To project electricity prices through 2050, we used price projections from the Annual Energy Outlook 2026 (AEO2026) to calculate electricity prices for each of the Electricity Market Module (EMM) regions relative to 2025 prices. We then applied these projections for the EMM regions to 2025 state-by-state electricity prices.<sup>9</sup> For states that span more than one EMM region, we calculated weighted-average electricity prices based on population. For states entirely within an EMM region, we assigned the regional price to the state. Alaska and Hawaii are not included in the

---

<sup>8</sup> Margolis, Rachel, and Joanna Mauer. 2025. *More Savings Ahead: The Potential of Future Appliance Standards*. Boston: ASAP. [appliance-standards.org/more-savings-ahead-potential-future-appliance-standards](https://appliance-standards.org/more-savings-ahead-potential-future-appliance-standards).

<sup>9</sup> [www.eia.gov/electricity/data/browser/#/topic/7?agg=1,0&geo=00fvvvvvvvo&endsec=8&freq=A&start=2024&end=2025&ctype=linechart&ltype=pin&rtype=s&pin=&rse=0&maptype=0](https://www.eia.gov/electricity/data/browser/#/topic/7?agg=1,0&geo=00fvvvvvvvo&endsec=8&freq=A&start=2024&end=2025&ctype=linechart&ltype=pin&rtype=s&pin=&rse=0&maptype=0) (Accessed March 4, 2026).

EMM data; for these states we assumed the rate of change in electricity prices would be equivalent to the U.S. average.

To project natural gas prices through 2050, we used price projections from AEO2026 to calculate prices for each of the nine U.S. Census divisions relative to 2025 prices. We then applied these regional price projections to 2025 state-by-state natural gas prices.<sup>10</sup>

We calculated regional water and wastewater prices based on the historical consumer price index for water and sewerage maintenance, and 2022 regional prices and DOE price projections from the 2024 clothes washers final rule.<sup>11</sup>

### ***Emissions reductions***

We calculated state-by-state NO<sub>x</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions reductions from electricity savings by multiplying annual electricity savings by respective state-by-state average emissions factors. We calculated emissions factors for 2025–2050 for each of the EMM regions by dividing electric power sector emissions by electric power sector generation from AEO2026 and assuming transmission and distribution losses of 4.4%.<sup>12</sup> For states that span more than one EMM region, we calculated weighted-average emissions factors based on population. For Alaska and Hawaii, we used emissions factors from 2023 and assumed the rate of change of emissions factors would be equivalent to the U.S. average.

We calculated state-by-state NO<sub>x</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions reductions from natural gas savings by multiplying annual natural gas savings by emissions factors of 94 lb./million cu. ft. for NO<sub>x</sub> and 52.91 kg/million Btu for CO<sub>2</sub>.<sup>13</sup>

---

<sup>10</sup> [www.eia.gov/naturalgas/data.php#prices](http://www.eia.gov/naturalgas/data.php#prices) (March 31, 2026, release). For states for which 2025 prices were unavailable, we assumed the change in prices between 2024 and 2025 was equivalent to the change for the United States as a whole.

<sup>11</sup> [www.regulations.gov/document/EERE-2017-BT-STD-0014-0513](http://www.regulations.gov/document/EERE-2017-BT-STD-0014-0513).

<sup>12</sup> We calculated transmission and distribution losses by dividing estimated losses by total disposition minus direct use from “Table 10: Supply and disposition of electricity”: [www.eia.gov/electricity/state/unitedstates/](http://www.eia.gov/electricity/state/unitedstates/) (December 5, 2025, release).

<sup>13</sup> [www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2020-09/documents/1.4\\_natural\\_gas\\_combustion.pdf](http://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2020-09/documents/1.4_natural_gas_combustion.pdf);  
[www.eia.gov/environment/emissions/co2\\_vol\\_mass.php](http://www.eia.gov/environment/emissions/co2_vol_mass.php).