## **Appliance Standards Awareness Project**

## 2023 State Clean Lighting

Savings estimates for: Kansas

	Potential	annual reductio	ns in 2030	Potential		
State	Mercury in Power plant CO <sub>2</sub> lamps mercury emissions shipped emissions (thous. MT)			annual electricity savings in 2030 (GWh)	Potential annual electricity bill savings in 2030 (million 2020\$)	
Kansas	10.9	1.03	150	496	44	

Assuming a compliance date of 2025.

	Potentia	al cumulative red through 2050	uctions	Cumulative electricity bill	
State	Mercury in lamps shipped (lbs)	Power plant mercury emissions (lbs)	CO <sub>2</sub> emissions (thous. MT)	savings through 2050 (million 2020\$)	Total benefit– cost ratio
Kansas	128	12.2	1,793	519	11.4

Assuming a compliance date of 2025. The total benefit-cost ratio is calculated as the present value of the total utility bill savings from products sold through 2050 for the recommended standard divided by the present value of the total additional costs.

## Fluorescent vs. LED: Economic analysis for most-shipped lamps (commercial sector)

Fluorescent lamp type	LED incremental cost (2020\$)	First-year electricity bill savings from LED (2020\$)	Life-cycle cost savings from LED (2020\$)	Payback period (years)
4-foot T12 – 40 W	2.59	7.85	36	0.3
4-foot T12 – 34 W	3.67	5.64	29	0.6
4-foot T8	0.54	3.82	22	0.03
4-foot T5	2.29	5.05	31	0.3
4-foot T5 high output	4.61	10.04	60	0.4
Pin-based CFL	3.02	6.28	19	0.3